

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/856,116	RICKERT ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714	

All Participants:

 (1) Cephia D. Toomer.

 (2) Kristin Neuman.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3 February 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 12 and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Ms. Neuman that the language "in particular...methacrylate polymers" was indefinite and that there is no antecedent support in claim 3 for the limitations recited in claims 12 and 13. Ms. Neuman indicated that the indefinite language of claim 1 may be deleted and claims 12 and 13 may be amended to correct the dependency of the claims. .